

07 FEB 2005

#16



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P. O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

THE PROCTOR AND GAMBLE COMPANY  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION  
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER  
BOX 161  
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE  
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45224

In re Application of  
KEOUGH et al.  
Serial No.: 09/889,053  
PCT No.: PCT/US00/00790  
Int. Filing Date: 12 January 2000  
Priority Date: 20 January 1999  
Attorney's Docket No.: 7379M  
For: METHOD AND KIT FOR SEQUENCING  
POLYPEPTIDES

DECISION ON  
RENEWED PETITION  
UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

The renewed petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b) filed 24 January 2005 in the above-captioned application is hereby **GRANTED** as follows:

Applicant previously stated that the "entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional", which meets the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). The required petition fee of \$1330 was also paid.

A review of the application file reveals that the proper reply, that is, sequence listing in response to the 12 November 2004 decision on petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application, was provided on 24 January 2005 and is entered. Thus, the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) have been satisfied. Therefore, the request to revive the application abandoned under 35 U.S.C. 371(d) is granted as to the National stage in the United States of America. Applicant's request for a one month extension of time is **MOOT**, as the application was abandoned.

This application is being forwarded to the United States Designated/Elected Office for further processing. The 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), (2) and (4) date is **11 July 2001**.

Cynthia M. Kratz  
Attorney Advisor  
Office of Patent Cooperation Treaty  
Legal Administration Office

Telephone: (571) 272-3286  
Facsimile : (571) 273-0459

In response to the Notification to Comply with Requirements for Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence and/or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures and Notification of Missing Requirements Under 35 USC 371 in the United States Designated/Elected Office, the Applicants submitted on 24 September 2001 Disk Sequence List in accordance to 37 CFR §§ 1.821(c) and (e).

On 20 March 2002, the USPTO mailed to Applicants a second Notification of Missing Requirements Under 35 USC 371 in the United States Designated/Elected Office. The reasoning for the second Notification of Missing Requirements was the Disk Sequence List submitted on 24 September 2001 failed to comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.821-1.825.

In response to the second Notification of Missing Requirements mailed on 19 March 2002, the Applicants submitted on 22 April 2002 a second Disk Sequence List in accordance to 37 CFR §§ 1.821(c) and (e).

On 26 June 2002, the USPTO mailed to Applicants a Notification of Defective Response. The reasoning for the Notification of Defective Response was the Disk Sequence List submitted on 22 April 2002 failed to comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.821-1.825.

In response to Notification of Defective Response mailed on 26 June 2002, the Applicants submitted on 22 July 2002 a third Disk Sequence List in accordance to 37 CFR §§ 1.821(c) and (e).

On 19 March 2003, the USPTO mailed to Applicants a second Notification of Defective Response. The reasoning for the Notification of Defective Response was the Disk Sequence List submitted on 22 July 2002 failed to comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.821-1.825.

On 09 February 2004, the USPTO mailed to Applicants Notification of Abandonment for failure to respond to Form PCT/DO/EO/905 mailed on 03/19/2003 within the time period set therein.

On 14 April 2004, applicants filed the instant petition to revive the application accompanied by, *inter alia*; the petition fee, statement that the entire delay was unintentional and disk containing computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing.

## DISCUSSION

A petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be filed without intentional delay from the time the application became abandoned and/or applicant first became aware of the abandoned status of the application. A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by a proper reply, a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional, the petition fee

required by law, and a terminal disclaimer and fee (if the international application was filed prior to June 8, 1995).

Applicants' statement in the petition that "[t]he entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional" satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). The payment of the petition fee satisfies the requirement under 37 CFR 1.137(b)(2). A terminal disclaimer is not required because the application was filed on or after 08 June 1995. However, an acceptable computer readable form (CRF) of the "Sequence Listing" is missing (see attached raw sequence listings error report). Therefore, the requirements for a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) have not been satisfied.

### CONCLUSION

The petition to revive the application abandoned under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of this petition is desired, a proper response must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)". No additional petition fee is required.


A proper response must include an acceptable computer readable form (CRF) of the "Sequence Listing".

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to the Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop PCT, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, and address the contents of the letter to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.



Richard Cole  
PCT Legal Examiner  
PCT Legal Administration



Robert D. Harlan  
Detailee  
PCT Legal Administration

Encl: Error Report